



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

itely limited, merely stated to be "foliis pinnatis multijugis." Lyon avers that he was severely poisoned by handling the plant but it must have been by another plant or he was hypersensitive to rhus poisons. Negro children where *R. pumila* grows eat its berries with the same avidity as those of *R. glabra* or *R. copallina* and experience no symptoms of poisoning.—WILLIAM WILLARD ASHE, *North Carolina Geological Survey*.

Note on Aster.—In the region round about Oberlin, Ohio, the descriptions of *Aster corymbosus* and *Aster macrophyllus*, as given by Dr. Gray, do not hold. They run into each other so decidedly as to make the separation of the two into species of no account. Specimens gathered here in abundance show all grades of combinations between the two, making no end of hybrids.

For instance, the distinction of "leaves thin," and "leaves thickish and rough" does not hold at all. We have specimens with the leaves thin and smooth which can be placed only as *macrophyllus*. Nor will the differences as to serration hold at all. And this is true of specimens from other regions than northern Ohio. Taper-pointed and abruptly pointed would seem to be good distinctions, but will not hold good. Moreover the times of blooming are such that the two can be seen together side by side, part fulfilling the descriptions of *corymbosus* and part answering to *macrophyllus*. No wonder Dr. Gray's diaries show that he was nearly distracted with his Asters.—F. D. KELSEY, *Oberlin, Ohio*.